

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/628,693 07/28/2003 Luc Struye 27500-169 5166 **EXAMINER** 7590 09/08/2005 Joseph T. Guy Ph.D. SUNG, CHRISTINE Nexsen Pruet Jacobs & Pollard LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 201 W. McBee Avenue Greenville, SC 29603 2878

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	6.1
Office Action Summary		10/628,693		STRUYE ET AL.	\mathcal{J}_{m}
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Christine Sun	4	2878	
	NG DATE of this communication app		-	orrespondence addres	S
Period for Reply	CTATUTORY DEDIOD COD DEDI	VIC CET TO E	YDIDE 2 MONTH/	C) OD TUIDTY (30) D.	۸۷۹
WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D by be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.16 from the mailing date of this communication. is specified above, the maximum statutory period the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailin tjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, h will apply and will exp e, cause the application	COMMUNICATION lowever, may a reply be time oire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on 28 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .			
2a) This action	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in a	ccordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayl	э, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claim	าร				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-</u>	44 is/are pending in the application	١.			
4a) Of the a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	is/are allowed.				
	44 is/are rejected.				
• - •	is/are objected to.	lti	:		
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	irement.		
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specific	cation is objected to by the Examine	er.			
·	g(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: a)				
	ay not request that any objection to the				
·	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the E				
•		Adminer. Note	me attached Office	Action of form 1 70-1	<i>02.</i>
Priority under 35 U.	_				
	ment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
,	Some * c) None of:		. ,		
	fied copies of the priority documen			ion No	
	fied copies of the priority documen es of the certified copies of the prio				7 0
•	cation from the International Burea			ed in this National Otal	,0
• •	ched detailed Office action for a list			ed.	
			·		
Attachment(s)	C'h.d (DTO 000)	Λ	Interview Comment	(PTO 412)	
 Notice of Reference Notice of Draftspers 	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di	ate	
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	,,	Notice of Informal F Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	.)

Application/Control Number: 10/628,693

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 7/28/20003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Copies of the "Other Documents" that we cited on the IDS were not provided.

Further copies of references EP 0803554 A1 and EP 01347023 A1 were enclosed but were not cited on the IDS.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kano (US Patent 5,012,107 A).

Regarding claim 1, Kano discloses a stimulable phosphor screen or panel (figure 1) comprising a phosphor layer (figure 1, element 2) and a support (figure 1, element 1) characterized in that an intermediate layer arrangement (Figure 1, elements 3 and 4) of an X-ray absorbing foil or light shielding layer (figure 1, element 3) and, farther from the support (see

figure 1), a stimulated light reflecting foil or light scattering foil (figure 1, element 4) is present between said support and said phosphor layer (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 11, Kano discloses a phosphor screen or panel according to claim 1 (see above), and further discloses that the support is selected from the group consisting of ceramics, glass, metals such as aluminum and polymeric films (column 5, lines 8-23).

Regarding claims 13 and 15, Kano discloses a phosphor screen or panel according to claims 1 and 11, respectively (see above), wherein said intermediate layer arrangement has a surface that has been subjected to embossing for forming a fine concavo-convex pattern (column 7, lines 35-37).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano (US Patent 5.012.107 A) in view of Miura (US Pre Grant Publication 2003/0021387 A1).

Regarding claims 2-4, Kano discloses the limitations et forth in claim 1, and further discloses that the x-ray absorbing layer or light shielding layer is made of metals that absorb and prevent transmission of the stimulating light (column 5, lines 51-54 and column 6, lines 3-11). Kano does not specify that the material is a lead compound in a binder. Miura discloses an x-ray absorbing layer that is made of a leaded glass, where the x-ray absorbing material is embedded in a glass matrix. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the leaded glass layer as disclosed by Miura with the invention as disclosed by Kano in order to efficiently stop the transmission of stimulation radiation from escaping the stimulable phosphor panel.

Regarding claim 5, Kano discloses the limitations set forth in claim 1, and further discloses that the x-ray absorbing layer or light shielding layer is made of metals that absorb and prevent transmission of the stimulating light (column 5, lines 51-54 and column 6, lines 3-11). Kano does not specify that the material is a layer of lead, however Miura discloses an x-ray absorbing layer that is made of lead (page 3, paragraph 31). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the lead x-ray absorbing layer as disclosed by Miura with the invention as disclosed by Kano in order to efficiently stop the transmission of stimulation radiation from escaping the stimulable phosphor panel.

7. Claims 6, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano (US Patent 5,012,107 A) in view of Isoda (US Patent 6,387,297 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/628,693

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claim 6, Kano discloses a stimulable phosphor screen or panel according to claim 14 (see above), and Kano further discloses that the light reflecting material is made from a material that reflects stimulating light (column 6, lines 39-46). Kano does not specify that the light-reflecting layer is made of aluminum. However, light reflecting layers made of aluminum are well known in the art as disclosed by Isoda (Column 3, lines 50-52). Aluminum provides a means for efficiently reflecting light, which increases the light collecting efficiency of the stimulable phosphor panel. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the aluminum layer as disclosed by Isoda with the invention as disclosed by Kano in order to efficiently collect stimulated and emitted light.

Regarding claim 12, Kano in view of Isoda discloses a phosphor screen or panel according to claim 6 (see above), and Kano further discloses that the support is selected from the group consisting of ceramics, glass, metals such as aluminum and polymeric films (column 5, lines 8-23).

Regarding claims 14 and 16, Kano in view of Isoda discloses a phosphor screen or panel according to claims 6 and 12, respectively (see above), and Kano wherein said intermediate layer arrangement has a surface that has been subjected to embossing for forming a fine concavoconvex pattern (column 7, lines 35-37).

Regarding claims 18, 20, 22, 24, 26 and 28, Kano in view of Isoda discloses the limitations set forth in claims 6 and 12, and Kano further discloses a two or more protective layers (column 8, lines 22-27). Although he does not specify the exact positioning of the layer as disclosed in the instant claims, it would have obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used a protective layer between the substrate and intermediate layers and/or between the

Art Unit: 2878

phosphor and the intermediate layers in order to decrease the likelihood of damage from moisture exposure to the various layers of the detector.

8. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano (US Patent 5,012,107 A) in view of Miura (US Pre Grant Publication 2003/0021387 A1) further in view of Isoda (US Patent 6,387,297 B1).

Regarding claims 7-10, Kano in view of Miura discloses a stimulable phosphor screen or panel according to claims 2, 3, 4 and 5, respectively (see above), and Kano further discloses that the light reflecting material is made from a material that reflects stimulating light (column 6, lines 39-46). Kano in view of Miura does not specify that the light-reflecting layer is made of aluminum. However, light reflecting layers made of aluminum are well known in the art as disclosed by Isoda (Column 3, lines 50-52). Aluminum provides a means for efficiently reflecting light, which increases the light collecting efficiency of the stimulable phosphor panel. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the aluminum layer as disclosed by Isoda with the invention as disclosed by Kano in view of Miura in order to efficiently collect stimulated and emitted light.

9. Claims 17, 19, 21, 23, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano (US Patent 5,012,107 A).

Regarding claims 17, 19, 21, 23, 25 and 27, Kano discloses the limitations set forth in claims 1 and 11, and further discloses a two or more protective layers (column 8, lines 22-27). Although he does not specify the exact positioning of the layer as disclosed in the instant claims, it would have obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used a protective layer between the substrate and intermediate layers and/or between the phosphor and the intermediate

layers in order to decrease the likelihood of damage from moisture exposure to the various layers of the detector.

10. Claims 29, 31, 33, 35, 37, 39, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano (US Patent 5,012,107 A) in view of Homme (US Pre Grant Publication 2004/0000644 A1).

Regarding claims 29 and 31, Kano discloses the limitations set forth in claim 1 and 11, respectively, but does not specify that the phosphor is a binderless phosphor having needle shaped crystals. Homme discloses a radiation detection panel with a stimulable phosphor that has needle shaped crystals (figure 5, element 10a). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated, at the time the invention was made to have used the needle shaped crystals as disclosed by Homme with the invention as disclosed by Kano in order to reduce lateral spreading of the detected radiation and thereby increase the efficiency and accuracy of the detected radiation.

Regarding claim 33, 35, 37, 39, 41 and 43 Homme further discloses that the needle shaped phosphor crystals are made of an alkali metal phosphor, CsBr:Eu (Page 4, paragraph 49).

Claims 30, 32, 34, 36, 38, 40, 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano (US Patent 5,012,107 A) in view of Homme (US Pre Grant Publication 2004/0000644 A1).

Regarding claims 30 and 32, Kano discloses the limitations set forth in claims 6 and 12, respectively, but does not specify that the phosphor is a binderless phosphor having needle shaped crystals. Homme discloses a radiation detection panel with a stimulable phosphor that has needle shaped crystals (figure 5, element 10a). One of ordinary skill in the art would be

Application/Control Number: 10/628,693 Page 8

Art Unit: 2878

motivated, at the time the invention was made to have used the needle shaped crystals as disclosed by Homme with the invention as disclosed by Kano in order to reduce lateral spreading of the detected radiation and thereby increase the efficiency and accuracy of the detected radiation.

Regarding claim 34, 36, 38, 40, 42 and 44, Homme further discloses that the needle shaped phosphor crystals are made of an alkali metal phosphor, CsBr:Eu (Page 4, paragraph 49).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Sung whose telephone number is 571-272-2448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 7-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christine Sung Examiner

Art Unit 2878

DAVID PORTA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800